1	wo		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
8			
9	IceMOS T	Cechnology Corporation,	No. CV-17-02575-PHX-JAT
10		Plaintiff,	ORDER
11	V.		
12	Omron Corporation,		
13		Defendant.	
14	IT IS ODDEDED setting on in newson discovery dispute bearing for Wednesday		
15	IT IS ORDERED setting an in-person discovery dispute hearing for Wednesday,		
16	May 22, 2019 at 11:00 a.m. IT IS EUDTHER OPDERED that by 2:00 p.m. Manday May 20, 2010, each		
17	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that by 3:00 p.m., Monday, May 20, 2019, each		
18	party shall file a brief, not to exceed seven pages (no exhibits, affidavits or declarations		
19	may be included beyond this page limit other than as specified below), addressing Omron's objections to the following 7 categories of documents:		
20	1. Draft expert reports;		
21	2. Documents claimed to be outside the scope of Federal Rule of Civil		
22	Procedure 26(b)(4)(C) (Defendant must list by number which Rule 45 subpoenas are		
2324	implicated by this objection);		
25	3. Five years of each experts' reports;		
26	4. Five years of each experts' transcripts;		
27	5. Five years of each experts' bills;		
28	6.	Five years of each experts' decl	
20		1	

7. Five years of each experts' use marketing or referral services.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff shall attach to its brief the 97 Rule 45 subpoenas (or categories therein) at issue and a copy of Defendant's objection thereto.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, to the extent counsel for Defendant has undertaken acting on behalf of the experts with respect to categories 3 through 7, Defendant shall attach to its brief a supplement to its objection that complies with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45(e)(2). Defendant shall also by this date comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34(b)(2)(C) if it applies.

Dated this 16th day of May, 2019.

James A. Teilborg

Senior United States District Judge